## Application No. Applicant(s) MULLINS, WARD 09/987.489 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2165 Apu M Mofiz All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Robert G. Lev. (2) Apu M Mofiz. Date of Interview: 14 March 2005. Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 16. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant and the Examiner agreed to add the limitations of claims 21 and 22 to the independent claims to further clarify the claimed. The Applicant and the Examiner also agreed to add "computerimplemented" to the preamble of the independent claims to clarify that the method and the system is implemented by a computer. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.